



US009356856B2

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Yang et al.**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,356,856 B2**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **\*May 31, 2016**

(54) **APPARATUS AND METHOD TO HIDE  
TRANSIT ONLY MULTI-ACCESS  
NETWORKS IN OSPF**

(71) Applicant: **Cisco Technology, Inc.**, San Jose, CA  
(US)

(72) Inventors: **Yi Yang**, Morrisville, NC (US); **Alvaro  
E. Retana**, Raleigh, NC (US); **James  
Ng**, Mebane, NC (US); **Abhay Roy**,  
Santa Clara, CA (US); **Alfred C.  
Lindem, III**, Cary, NC (US); **Sina  
Mirtorabi**, Santa Clara, CA (US);  
**Timothy M. Gage**, Durham, NC (US);  
**Syed Khalid Raza**, Fremont, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **CISCO TECHNOLOGY, INC.**, San  
Jose, CA (US)

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this  
patent is extended or adjusted under 35  
U.S.C. 154(b) by 330 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal dis-  
claimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/013,990**

(22) Filed: **Aug. 29, 2013**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2014/0003289 A1 Jan. 2, 2014

#### **Related U.S. Application Data**

(63) Continuation of application No. 13/048,731, filed on  
Mar. 15, 2011, now Pat. No. 8,537,817, which is a  
continuation of application No. 11/537,275, filed on  
Sep. 29, 2006, now Pat. No. 7,929,524.

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**H04L 12/28** (2006.01)  
**H04L 12/751** (2013.01)

(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC ..... **H04L 45/025** (2013.01); **H04L 45/02**  
(2013.01); **H04L 45/54** (2013.01); **H04L**  
**63/0407** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC ..... **H04L 45/02**; **H04L 45/025**; **H04L 45/54**  
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

#### **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

6,339,595 B1 1/2002 Rekhter et al.  
6,418,139 B1 7/2002 Akhtar

(Continued)

#### **OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Ash, Jerry, et al., "Congestion Avoidance & Control for OSPF Net-  
works," draft-ash-manral-ospf-congestion-control-00.txt, Apr. 2002,  
pp. 1-17.

(Continued)

*Primary Examiner* — Nicholas Sloms


(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Polsinelli PC

(57)

#### **ABSTRACT**

In one embodiment, a first router determines whether a net-  
work coupling the first router to one or more second routers is  
transit-only, wherein transit-only indicates connecting only  
routers to provide for transmission of data from router to  
router. When the network is transit-only, the first router gen-  
erates an Open Shortest Path First (OSPF) Link State Adver-  
tisement (LSA) that includes an address for the network and  
a designated network mask. The designated network mask  
operates as a transit-only identification that indicates the  
address should not be installed in a Routing Information Base  
(RIB) upon receipt of the OSPF LSA at the one or more  
second routers. When the network is not transit-only, the first  
router generates an OSPF LSA that includes the address for  
the network but does not include the designated network  
mask, to permit installation of the address in a RIB upon  
receipt of the OSPF LSA at the one or more second routers.

**20 Claims, 4 Drawing Sheets**



(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**H04L 12/741** (2013.01)  
**H04L 29/06** (2006.01)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

|                   |         |                   |                        |
|-------------------|---------|-------------------|------------------------|
| 6,473,421 B1      | 10/2002 | Tappan            |                        |
| 6,768,739 B1      | 7/2004  | Kobayashi et al.  |                        |
| 6,917,983 B1 *    | 7/2005  | Li .....          | H04L 12/18<br>370/389  |
| 6,999,454 B1      | 2/2006  | Crump             |                        |
| 7,047,315 B1      | 5/2006  | Srivastava        |                        |
| 7,088,718 B1      | 8/2006  | Srivastava        |                        |
| 7,133,403 B1      | 11/2006 | Mo et al.         |                        |
| 7,173,912 B2      | 2/2007  | Jaber et al.      |                        |
| 7,209,976 B2      | 4/2007  | Folkes et al.     |                        |
| 7,281,129 B2      | 10/2007 | Zaborovsky et al. |                        |
| 7,292,535 B2      | 11/2007 | Folkes et al.     |                        |
| 7,307,990 B2      | 12/2007 | Rosen et al.      |                        |
| 7,532,631 B2      | 5/2009  | Raszuk et al.     |                        |
| 7,561,571 B1      | 7/2009  | Lovett et al.     |                        |
| 7,649,834 B2      | 1/2010  | Badat et al.      |                        |
| 7,664,110 B1      | 2/2010  | Lovett et al.     |                        |
| 7,685,281 B1      | 3/2010  | Saraiya et al.    |                        |
| 7,697,416 B2      | 4/2010  | Shand et al.      |                        |
| 7,929,524 B2      | 4/2011  | Yang et al.       |                        |
| 8,023,517 B2      | 9/2011  | Yang et al.       |                        |
| 2002/0006112 A1   | 1/2002  | Jaber et al.      |                        |
| 2003/0016678 A1 * | 1/2003  | Maeno .....       | H04L 45/10<br>370/400  |
| 2003/0043820 A1 * | 3/2003  | Goringe .....     | H04L 41/046<br>370/400 |
| 2003/0218982 A1   | 11/2003 | Folkes et al.     |                        |

|                   |         |                     |                        |
|-------------------|---------|---------------------|------------------------|
| 2004/0006640 A1   | 1/2004  | Inderieden et al.   |                        |
| 2004/0039839 A1   | 2/2004  | Kalyanaraman et al. |                        |
| 2004/0258016 A1   | 12/2004 | Schmidt et al.      |                        |
| 2005/0041596 A1   | 2/2005  | Yokomitsu et al.    |                        |
| 2005/0074003 A1   | 4/2005  | Ball et al.         |                        |
| 2005/0094566 A1   | 5/2005  | Hares               |                        |
| 2005/0213557 A1   | 9/2005  | Hwang et al.        |                        |
| 2006/0034171 A1   | 2/2006  | Rajsic et al.       |                        |
| 2006/0056328 A1 * | 3/2006  | Lehane .....        | H04L 45/02<br>370/315  |
| 2006/0056411 A1   | 3/2006  | Badat et al.        |                        |
| 2006/0159082 A1 * | 7/2006  | Cook .....          | H04L 45/02<br>370/389  |
| 2006/0233181 A1   | 10/2006 | Raszuk et al.       |                        |
| 2007/0016637 A1   | 1/2007  | Brawn et al.        |                        |
| 2007/0237095 A1   | 10/2007 | Yang et al.         |                        |
| 2008/0062861 A1   | 3/2008  | Shand et al.        |                        |
| 2008/0080494 A1   | 4/2008  | Yang et al.         |                        |
| 2011/0222550 A1   | 9/2011  | Yang et al.         |                        |
| 2014/0129736 A1 * | 5/2014  | Yu .....            | H04L 45/021<br>709/242 |

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Moy, J. "OSPF Version 2," draft-ietf-ospf-version2-11.txt, Apr. 1997, pp. 1-220.  
PCT Notification of Transmittal of the International Search Report and the Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority, or the Declaration, mailed Jul. 30, 2008, International Application No. PCT/US07/67819, International Filing Date: Apr. 30, 2007, 9 pages.  
Supplementary European Search Report, European Application No. 07761603.5-2416/20067323, PCT/US2007/067819, Applicant: Cisco Technology, Inc., Date of Mailing: Nov. 11, 2010, pp. 1-8.

\* cited by examiner




FIG. 1





FIG. 2

**FIG. 3**




FIG. 4

1

## APPARATUS AND METHOD TO HIDE TRANSIT ONLY MULTI-ACCESS NETWORKS IN OSPF

### RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application for U.S. patent is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/048,731, filed on Mar. 15, 2011 by Yang et al., and entitled "Apparatus and Method to Hide Transit Only Multi-Access Networks in OSPF", the contents of which are incorporated by reference herein in their entirety. U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/048,731 is itself a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/537,275, now issued as U.S. Pat. No. 7,929,524, filed on Sep. 29, 2006 by Yang et al., and entitled "Apparatus and Method to Hide Transit Only Multi-Access Networks in OSPF", the contents of which are also incorporated by reference herein in their entirety.

### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to routers. More particularly, this invention relates to routers that are only connected to other routers in a network. Still more particularly, this invention relates to a method for protecting interfaces on these routers from the network to increase security of the network.

### BACKGROUND

In today's home and business environment there are many different types of digital networks that allow processing systems to communicate and transmit data between one another. As the size of these networks increases, more routers or other switching systems are needed in the network to transmit data between processing systems. Some systems are so large that some routers have interfaces that are connected directly to other routers to transmit data over the other routers to other systems.

One method for determining a path for transmitting data from one processing system over the network to another system is Open Shortest Path First (OSPF). In OSPF, a router receives Link State Advertisements (LSA) from other routing systems. Each LSA indicates a connection of a router to another system or router. A router then stores the received LSAs and uses the LSAs to determine the path over which to transmit data to a particular address. In the larger networks, the connections between routers are used to transmit data. However, the addresses of the interfaces that connect a router to another router are not needed. Thus, transmitting LSAs with the addresses of these interfaces is a problem. The addresses of the interfaces are installed in Router Information Base (RIB) of other routers when received in an LSA. This wastes router resources as the addresses are stored in the RIB and then the router advertises the link.

Another problem with advertising the addresses for these interfaces is that an attacker can launch an attack on the network by sending packets to one of these interfaces. Thus, there is a need in the art for a system to hide these interfaces from the network to improve convergence of the network and to increase security.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The features and advantages of this invention are described in the following detailed description and are shown in the following drawings:

2

FIG. 1 illustrating an exemplary network having transit-only routers operating in accordance with this invention;

FIG. 2 illustrating a block diagram of components of a routing system operating in accordance with this invention;

FIG. 3 illustrating a flow diagram of an exemplary process for generating and transmitting a link state advertisement in accordance with this invention; and

FIG. 4 illustrating a flow diagram of an exemplary process for receiving and handling a link state advertisement generated in accordance with this invention.

### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

This invention relates to a method to hide transit-only interfaces in a network. For purposes of this discussion, transit-only interfaces are interfaces which directly connect one router to another router. In accordance with the present invention, the routers perform applications which hide the transit-only interfaces in a network in accordance with this invention. These applications may be performed as software, hardware, and/or firmware that are included in the router.

FIG. 1 illustrates a network that includes routing systems that have transit-only interfaces in accordance with this invention. Network 100 includes routing systems 105-111. Routing systems 105-111 are routers, switches, or other processing devices that transmit data to other systems over networks 100. One skilled in the art will recognize that network 100 is shown for illustrative purposes only and the exact configuration of a network is not important to implementing this invention. Thus, the exact configuration and size of a network implementing processes in accordance with this invention are left to one skilled in the art designing a system implementing processes in accordance with this invention. Furthermore, the connection and interfaces of the routing systems shown are for exemplary purposes. The exact number of interfaces and the connections to the interfaces are left to those skilled in the art.

The routing systems in network 100 use OSPF to determine a path for transmitting a packet from one processing system to another. In the exemplary embodiment shown, OSPFv2 is used to make determinations of the paths for transmission of packets. In OSPFv2, Internet Protocol (IP) version 4 (IPv4) addressing is used. Although discussed in terms OSPFv2 and IPv4, one skilled in the art will recognize that this invention may be implemented in other protocols using other addressing strategies.

In network 100, routing systems 105, 106, 110, and 111 connect servers 120-123 to network 100. Routing system 105 connects to server 120 via interface 130 and connects to other routers 106-108 via interfaces 131-133. Routing system 106 connects to server 121 via interface 140 and interfaces 141-143 connect routing system 106 to other routing systems. Routing system 110 connects to server 122 via interface 155 and to other routing systems via interfaces 151-153. Routing system 111 connects to server 123 via interface 145 and to other routing systems via interfaces 161-163.

In network 100, routing systems 107-109 are transit-only routing systems. Routing systems 107-109 are transit-only routing systems because these routing systems are only connected to other routing systems to transmit data between the routing systems that connect processing systems to the network. For example, interface 150 in routing system 108 connects routing system 108 to an interface 160 of routing systems 107.

Routing systems may only have one or a portion of the interfaces in the router that are transit-only. For example, routing system 105 has an interface 133 that connects routing

system **105** to routing system **106** via interface **143**. Thus, a system for hiding transit-only interfaces may be implemented in all routing systems in network **100**.

FIG. **2** shows a router **200**. Routing systems **105-111** (Shown in FIG. **1**) are all examples of router **200**. Router **200** is a routing and/or switching system that transmits digital data, in the form of packets between processing systems connected to a network. One skilled in the art will recognize that router **200** may be a router, switch or any other processing system that receives and transmits digital data.

Router **200** includes ports **205-209**. Ports **205-209** connect router **200** to other processing systems in a network. The other processing systems that may be connected include computers, other routers or any other digital processing system. One skilled in the art will further recognize that ports **205-209** are shown for exemplary purposes only and the exact number and configuration of the ports are left to one skilled in the art designing a specific router.

Ports **205-209** are connected to switch circuitry **215**. Switch circuitry **215** is circuitry that transmits received packets to the proper ports for delivery to the proper address. One skilled in the art will recognize that there are many different types of circuitry that may be used to transmit packets between proper ports and an explanation of the switching circuitry is not critical to this invention and is omitted for brevity.

Processing unit **220** is connected to switching circuitry **215**. Processing unit **220** is a processor, microprocessor, or any combination of processors and microprocessors that execute instructions to perform applications for performing functions in router **200**. Processing unit **220** is also connected to a volatile memory **225** and a non-volatile memory **230** via memory bus **235**.

Volatile memory **225** is a memory such as a Random Access Memory (RAM). A volatile memory stores instructions and data used by processing unit **220** to perform applications. One such application is a routing operating system. The routing operating system is an application or applications which allow router **200** to perform other applications that provide the functions of router **200**. An example of a routing operating system is Inter-network Operating System (IOS) designed by Cisco Systems Inc. One Skilled in the art will recognize that many different types of memory may be used a non-volatile memory such SRAM and DRAM.

Non-volatile memory **230** is a memory such as a Read Only Memory (ROM). Non-volatile memory **230** stores configuration and other vital information for router **200**. One skilled in the art will recognize that there are many different types of memory that may be used as a non-volatile memory.

Modules **240**, **245**, and **250** are connected to switching circuitry **215**. Modules **240**, **245**, and **250** are devices and/or software that prepare specific features in router **200**. An example of a module is a Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP) module for providing telephonic communications to processing devices connected to router **200**. One skilled in the art will recognize that the number of modules and the functions that each module provides may be determined by one skilled in the art designing a particular router.

In accordance with this invention, a system for hiding transit-only interfaces of routing systems is provided by processes executed by the routing systems as shown by the following exemplary embodiments. One skilled in the art will recognize that the following processes may be executed by software, firmware, hardware, and/or any combination of the preceding components.

In order to hide transit-only interfaces, a routing system must be able to identify a transit-only interface to other rout-

ing systems and the other routing systems must know how to handle an interface that is identified as transit only. FIG. **3** illustrates an exemplary embodiment of a process executed by a first routing system to identify transit-only interface in advertisements. FIG. **4** then illustrates an exemplary embodiment of a process for a router receiving an advertisement of a transit-only interface and storing the information.

FIG. **3** illustrates an exemplary process **300** executed by a routing system to identify a transit-only interface to other routing systems. Process **300** begins in step **305** by the routing system determining that an interface is a transit-only interface. The determination is performed in a typical manner that a routing system performs detections of connections to the routing system. If the interface is determined not to be a transit-only interface, the routing system generates an advertisement in a normal manner in accordance with the art in step **310**. One skilled in the art will recognize that the advertisement is an LSA in OSPF and is generated in the manner defined in OSPF. The advertisement is then transmitted in the normal manner in step **325**.

If the interface is determined to be transit only, an advertisement is generated in step **315**. In the preferred embodiment, the advertisement is an LSA and is generated in a typical manner. After the advertisement is generated, a transit-only identification is inserted into the packet to indicate that the advertised interface is transit-only in step **320**.

In exemplary embodiments, there are a variety of manners in which an advertisement for a transit-only interface may be marked. A first method for marking a transit-only interface is by placing an invalidate and/or non-continuous network mask instead of the configured value for the network. For example, the value 0x00000001 may be inserted instead of the configured value. Because the network mask is invalid the OSPF does not install the address in the RIB. In a network using this method, some vendors may do a sanity check and when the advertisements with invalid masks are detected, these advertisements may be dropped. This could disrupt communications over the network.

A second method for marking advertisements for transit only interfaces uses a valid, designated network mask, such as, a network mask that should not be used in a multi-access network. Some examples of this include

0xffffffff and 0xffffffe. Routing systems using a system in accordance with the invention must not place the advertised addresses in the RIB. However, non-upgrades systems will still flood the networks with the advertisements. Thus, this method is more predictable than using an invalid mask. However, the host addresses of a transmitting routing system may be installed in the RIBs of non-upgraded systems. Thus, the interfaces may still be attacked.

A third method for marking advertisements for transit-only interfaces is to place a flag or administrative tag in the advertisements. Such tags are described in the IETF draft of "Extensions to OSPFv2 for advertising Optional Rout/Link Attributes." This will require all routers to be upgraded to read the tag and recognize the advertisement is for a transit-only interface. Furthermore, this method requires support of opaque Link State Advertisements. This may cause backward compatibility issues.

After the advertisement is generated and the mask is inserted, the advertisement is transmitted in the conventional manner in step **325**. In step **330**, the router determines whether all of the advertisements for interfaces have been transmitted. If there are more advertisements to generate, process **300** repeats for step **305**. Otherwise process **300** ends.

FIG. **4** illustrates an exemplary process executed by a routing system when an advertisement is received to hide a tran-



## 5

sit-only interface in accordance with this invention. Process 400 begins in step 405 with an advertisement being received by the routing system. In step 410, the identifier is read.

The routing system then determines whether the advertised address is a transit-only interface in step 415. If the first method of using an invalid mask is used, all routing systems will recognize the invalid mask and not install the address in the RIB. If the second or third methods are used, the receiving routing systems must be upgraded to detect the identifier. If the identifier is read from the advertisement, the advertisement information is stored in a conventional manner. However, the advertised address is not stored in RIB of the routing system in step 420. If the identifier is not read, the advertised information is stored in the conventional manner in step 425. Process 400 then ends.

The above is a description of exemplary embodiments of a method for hiding transit-only interfaces in a network. It is envisioned that those skilled in the art can and will design alternative systems for hiding transit-only interfaces that infringe on this invention as set forth in the following claims either literally or through Doctrine of Equivalents.

What is claimed is:

1. A method comprising:
  - determining, by a first router, whether a network coupling the first router to one or more second routers is transit-only, wherein transit-only indicates connecting only routers to provide for transmission of data from router to router;
  - in response to determining that the network is transit-only, generating an Open Shortest Path First (OSPF) Link State Advertisement (LSA) that includes an address for the network and a designated network mask, the designated network mask operating as a transit-only identification, the transit-only identification indicating that the address is not permitted to be installed in a Routing Information Base (RIB) upon receipt of the OSPF LSA at the one or more second routers; and
  - in response to determining that the network is not transit-only, generating an OSPF LSA that includes the address for the network but does not include the designated network mask, to permit installation of the address in a RIB upon receipt of the OSPF LSA at the one or more second routers.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the designated network mask is 0xffffffff.
3. The method of claim 1, further comprising:
  - receiving, by one of the one or more second routers, the OSPF LSA from the first router; and
  - determining whether the OSPF LSA includes the designated network mask.
4. The method of claim 3, further comprising:
  - in response to determining that the OSPF LSA includes the designated network mask, preventing installation of the address for the network in the RIB of the one of the one or more second routers; and
  - in response to determining that the OSPF LSA does not include the designated network mask, permitting installation of the address for the network in the RIB of the one of the one or more second routers.
5. The method of claim 1, further comprising:
  - receiving the OSPF LSA from the first router at one of the one or more second routers that is not upgraded to understand that the designated network mask is operating as a transit-only identification; and
  - installing the address for the network in the RIB of the one of the one or more second routers that is not upgraded.

## 6

6. The method of claim 1, further comprising:
  - determining whether additional OSPF LSAs are to be generated; and
  - in response to determining that additional OSPF LSAs are to be generated, repeating the determining and generating.
7. The method of claim 1, wherein the OSPF LSA is an OSPF version 2 (OSPFv2) LSA.
8. A method comprising:
  - determining, by a first router, whether a network coupling the first router to one or more second routers is transit-only, wherein transit-only indicates connecting only routers to provide for transmission of data from router to router;
  - in response to determining that the network is transit-only, generating an Open Shortest Path First (OSPF) Link State Advertisement (LSA) and preventing an address for the network from being installed in a Routing Information Base (RIB) upon receipt of the OSPF LSA at the one or more second routers; and
  - in response to determining that the network is not transit-only, generating an OSPF LSA, and permitting the address for the network to be installed in a RIB upon receipt of the OSPF LSA at the one or more second routers.
9. The method of claim 8, further comprising:
  - in response to determining that the network is not transit-only, including the address for the network in the OSPF LSA.
10. The method of claim 8, further comprising:
  - receiving, by the one or more second router, the OSPF LSA from the first router; and
  - in response to determining that the network is not transit-only, installing the address for the network in the RIB of the one or more second router.
11. The method of claim 8, wherein the OSPF LSA is an OSPF version 2 (OSPFv2) LSA.
12. An apparatus comprising:
  - a memory configured to maintain a Routing Information Base (RIB); and
  - a processing unit configured to execute a process to:
    - determine whether a network coupling the apparatus to one or more second apparatus is transit-only,
    - in response to determining that the network is transit-only, generate an Open Shortest Path First (OSPF) Link State Advertisement (LSA) that includes an address for the network and a designated network mask, the designated network mask operating as a transit-only identification, the transit-only identification indicating that indicates the address is not permitted to be installed in a Routing Information Base (RIB) upon receipt of the OSPF LSA at the one or more second apparatus, and
    - in response to determining that the network is not transit-only, generate an OSPF LSA that includes the address for the network but does not include the designated network mask, to permit installation of the address in a RIB upon receipt of the OSPF LSA at the one or more second apparatus.
13. The apparatus of claim 12, wherein the designated network mask is 0xffffffff.
14. An apparatus comprising:
  - an interface;
  - a memory configured to maintain a Routing Information Base (RIB); and

7

a processing unit configured to execute a process to:

determine whether a network coupling the apparatus to one or more second apparatus is transit-only,

in response to determining that the network is transit-only, generate an Open Shortest Path First (OSPF) Link State Advertisement (LSA) and prevent an address for the network from being installed in a Routing Information Base (RIB) upon receipt of the OSPF LSA at the one or more second apparatus, and in response to determining that the network is not transit-only, generate an OSPF LSA, and permit the address for the network to be installed in a RIB upon receipt of the OSPF LSA at the one or more second apparatus.

**15.** A non-transitory computer readable medium encoded with software, the software when executed by one or more processors operable to:

determine whether a network coupling a first router to one or more second routers is transit-only, wherein transit-only indicates connecting only routers to provide for transmission of data from router to router;

in response to determining that the network is transit-only, generate an Open Shortest Path First (OSPF) Link State Advertisement (LSA) that includes a designated network mask, the designated network mask operating as a transit-only identification, the transit-only identification indicating that an address is not permitted to be installed in a Routing Information Base (RIB) upon receipt of the OSPF LSA at the one or more second routers; and

in response to determining that the network is not transit-only, generate an OSPF LSA that does not include the designated network mask, to permit installation of the address in a RIB upon receipt of the OSPF LSA at the one or more second routers.

8

**16.** The non-transitory computer-readable medium of claim **15**, wherein the designated network mask is 0xffffffff.

**17.** The non-transitory computer-readable medium of claim **15**, wherein the software when executed is further operable to:

determine, upon receiving the OSPF LSA at one of the one or more second routers, whether the OSPF LSA includes the designated network mask.

**18.** The non-transitory computer-readable medium of claim **17**, wherein the software when executed is further operable to:

in response to determining that the OSPF LSA includes the designated network mask, prevent installation of the address in the RIB of the one of the one or more second routers; and

in response to determining that the OSPF LSA does not include the designated network mask, permit installation of the address in the RIB of the one of the one or more second routers.

**19.** The non-transitory computer-readable medium of claim **15**, wherein the software when executed is further operable to:

install the address in the RIB of one of the one or more second routers.

**20.** The non-transitory computer-readable medium of claim **15**, wherein the software when executed is further operable to:

determine whether additional OSPF LSAs are to be generated; and

in response to determining that additional OSPF LSAs are to be generated, repeating the operations to determine and to generate.

\* \* \* \* \*